Performance Simulation of the LiCAS-RTRS Survey Train

Jacek Ciborowski on behalf of:

G. Grzelak*, A. Reichold+, R. Bingham+, G. Moss+, Y. Han+, J. Green+, J. Prenting#, M. Schlösser#, D. Kämptner#

*University of Warsaw, +University of Oxford, #DESY

2005 International Linear Collider Physics and Detector Workshop
and 2nd ILC Accelerator Workshop
Snowmass, Colorado, August 14-27, 2005.

Outlook

- Linear collider alignment and survey: basic requirements
- Principles of the LiCAS-RTRS train and its simulation
- Simulation of single train stop
- Train operation along the accelerator tunnel
- Random walk model for the error propagation
- Conclusions

Alignment and survey: basic requirements



Alignment involves:

1. accelerator component construction accuracy
2. accelerator component fiducialisation
3. reference survey (→ this talk)
4. stake out
5. position adjustment

- Linear e^+e^- Collider will operate at ultra low emittance → alignment of all components will be crucial (cavities, magnets, bpm's, etc.)
- long tunnel ($30\,km$): fast, automated measurement needed (realign with minimum impact on availability)
- tight free space ($\approx 1\,m$ wide)
- vertically and horizontally curved tunnel sections (Damping Rings), scalable solution needed
- some tunnel sections not geometrically straight
- significant slopes possible (→ HLS problem !)
- no long term stable reference monuments (LEP: $100\,\mu m/year$) → survey must be regularly repeated

LiCAS-RTRS train: principle of operation



- RTRS: Rapid Tunnel Reference Surveyor - mechanical concept of the survey train developed at DESY for TESLA
- collider alignment at construction stage: $200\,\mu m$ over $600\,m$ in vertical (example TESLA specification)
- present open air survey technology not sufficient
- LiCAS: Linear Collider and Survey, proposed technology: optical measurements using FSI (Frequency Scanning Interferometry) and Laser Straightness Monitors (LSM)

- automatic train measures reference markers (i.e. defines the reference frame)
- later measure collider position w.r.t. the above co-ordinate system
- scalable laser technology (infrared TELECOMS lasers) using fibre optical amplifiers
- internal laser beam lines in vacuum
- prototype tests at DESY beginning of 2006

Sensing parts of the train



- Important components for the simulation (Laser Straightness Monitor, FSI lines):
  - LSM: 1 laser line per train; 2 beam splitters, 4 CCD cameras per car
  - Internal FSI: 6 laser lines, 6 retro-reflectors per car
  - External FSI: 6 laser lines per car, 1 wall marker in front of each car
  - clinometer (not shown)

SIMULGEO: Software used in the simulation

- Object oriented script language for description of opto-geometrical systems
- Mechanical correlations between objects grouped in local frames
- Performs full error propagation (N^2 matrix, very CPU consuming)



Sensitivity of various subcomponents



Accessible DOF:						
COMP	Tr_x	Tr_y	Tr_z	Rot_x	Rot_y	Rot_z
LSM	$\surd$	$\surd$		$\surd$	$\surd$	
INT-FSI	$\pm$	$\pm$	$\surd$	$\pm$	$\pm$	
Clinometer				$\surd$(not used)		$\surd$

- LSM: transverse translation ($Tr_{x,y}$, $\sigma \approx 1\mu m$) and rotation ($Rot_{x,y}$, $\sigma \approx 1\mu rad$)
- INT-FSI: longitudinal distance ($\sigma \approx 1\mu m$) ($\pm$ redundancy for LSM)
- Clinometer: only Rot_z used (insensitive to the geoid shape)

Idea of the multi train measurement



- top view on two train stops along the tunnel wall
- details of the wall structure are magnified

- each train stop provides coordinates of N (=6) wall markers expressed in the local frame of the train
- overlapping measurements of each wall marker
- local measurements are combined to coincide on the same trajectory in the global tunnel frame (simultaneous fit to all measurements)

Single train simulation: car position and angles, wall markers





$A = Rot_X$

$B = Rot_Y$

$C = Rot_Z$



- assuming intrinsic resolutions:
  - CCD: $\sigma_{CCD} = 1\,\mu m$
  - FSI: $\sigma_{FSI} = 1\,\mu m$
  - tiltmeter: $\sigma_{tilt} = 1\,\mu rad$
- calib. const.: (INT/EXT-FSI,CCD,BS)
  - $\sigma_{pos} = 1\,\mu m$, $\sigma_{ang} = 1\,\mu rad$

20 train stops ($= 90\,m$ tunnel section)



- results of full SIMULGEO simulation (error matrix rank $N^2 \sim 10\,000^2$)
- fast growth of transverse errors !

- 20 overlapping trains
- train stops are coupled to each other via the (previously measured) wall markers

Extrapolation to $600\,m$ tunnel section



- extrapolation using random walk model:



- off-sets and angles are relative to the previous "ruler"
- asymptotic behaviour:

$$\sigma_{xy,n} \sim n^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \sigma_{z,n} \sim n$$

$$\sigma_{xy,n} = \sqrt{l^2\sigma_\alpha^2 \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + \sigma_{xy}^2 \frac{n(n+1)}{2}}, \quad \sigma_{z,n} = \sqrt{\sigma_z^2 \frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$$

n – wall marker number, l – effective length of the ruler (here: distance between cars),
errors: σ_α – angular $(\sim 0.1\,\mu rad)$, σ_{xy} – transverse $(\sim 0.5\,\mu m)$, σ_z – longitudinal $(\sim 0.1\,\mu m)$

Random Walk Monte Carlo: trajectories, fits



- trajectories generated from Random Walk Monte Carlo using parameters from the fit to SIMULGEO points (X, Y) direction
- good news: points along trajectories are strongly correlated (ie.: small 'oscillations' observed)
- straight line fits to the Random Walk paths for $600\,m$ tunnel section

- repeating this procedure for many "numerical experiments"...

Random Walk Monte Carlo: residua



- mean deviation from straight line fits (X, Y) direction
- realistic input to the simulations of beam dynamics

- well below specification: $\sigma_x = 500\mu m$, $\sigma_y = 200\mu m$
- however: only statistical errors included

Summary

- LiCAS technology is capable of surveying the LC tunnel to desired accuracy: $\mathcal{O}(200)\,\mu m$ over $600\,m$ tunnel section (TESLA specification)
- Systematics errors under study
- Work in progress on the train calibration procedure (LSM,FSI,...)
- Reconstruction software for wall markers positions using CCD and FSI readout for all train stops under testing